

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/684,285	10/13/2003		Hiromi Yunoki	2003-2030.ORI	3331
23165	7590	05/18/2005	EXAMINER		
ROBERT J		SON PA EET SOUTH	PUTTLITZ, KARL J		
ST PAUL,				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•				1621	

DATE MAILED: 05/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/684,285	YUNOKI ET AL.				
Office Action	on Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Karl J. Puttlitz	1621				
The MAILING DA	NTE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATE THE MAILING DATE Of Extensions of time may be availities SIX (6) MONTHS from the lift he period for reply specified If NO period for reply is specifice. Failure to reply within the set of	OF THIS COMMUNICATION. silable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 e mailing date of this communication. above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply ied above, the maximum statutory period w or extended period for reply will, by statute, the later than three months after the mailing	(IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH) 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed.	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•					
1) Responsive to co	mmunication(s) filed on 09 M	arch 2005.	•				
2a)⊠ This action is FIN							
•							
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 4-7 is/ar	re pending in the application.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
′	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-7</u> is/ar	Claim(s) <u>4-7</u> is/are rejected.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification	is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) file	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not i	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement draw	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
·	a) ⊠ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:						
 -	opies of the priority documents						
	_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
•	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
• •	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
* See the attached o	detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	; α.				
Attachment(s)	·						
1) Notice of References Cited		4) Interview Summary					
	atent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	—.	6) Other:	. ,				

DETAILED ACTION

The rejection under section 112, second paragraph is maintained and repeated below:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 7, step d) recites that the catalyst is "gently extracted from the stainless-steel-made reaction tube. The claim is indefinite because it is unclear exactly how the term "gently" limits the claim. Removing this term would overcome the rejection.

Although not addressed previously, the examiner points out that claim 7 should have process steps for the production of acrylic acid.

The rejection under section 103 is maintained and repeated below. Applicant's remarks in connection with this ground of rejection are also addressed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. patent No. 4,892,856 to Kawajiri et al. (Kawajiri).

The claims of the application are drawn to, inter alai, a process for production of acrylic acid, which comprises the step of carrying out catalytic gas phase oxidation of acrolein in the presence of molecular oxygen, thereby producing the acrylic acid with the step of carrying out catalytic gas phase oxidation using a catalyst which is obtained by a process that includes the steps of: heating a mixed liquid of starting materials including molybdenum and vanadium as essential components; and then molding the resultant dried material with a liquid binder: and then calcining the resultant molding; wherein the liquid binder is an aqueous liquid of 7.0 to 10.0 in pH. See claim 4, as amended.

Kawajiri teaches a catalyst suitable for the production of acrylic acid from acrolein, which comprises molybdenum and vanadium as essential components. In particular, Example I teaches that water and ammonium metavanadate and ammonium molybdate prepared an aqueous solution. This was evaporated to dry solidness and milled. Then the powder was charged thereto together with distilled water as a binder with blowing heated air at 90 C.

Application/Control Number: 10/684,285

Art Unit: 1621

The difference between the catalyst preparation set forth in the claims and that described by Kawajiri, is that Kawajiri fails to explicitly state that the liquid binder is an aqueous liquid of 7.0 to 10.0 in pH. However, the liquid binder used in Kawajiri is water. Therefore, since the binder is water, a liquid binder is an aqueous liquid of 7.0 to 10.0 in pH is within the motivation of those of ordinary skill. Applicant is invited to submit objective evidence to the contrary.

With respect to claim 6, since Kawajiri substantially teaches all of the aspects of the recited catalyst, those of ordinary skill would expect that the recited physical strength would also be a necessary aspect of the catalyst described by Kawajiri.

Applicant argues that the water disclosed by Kawajiri is distilled water, whoi h is weakly acidic, and therefore, outside of the pH range required by the claims. However, the record is still absent of any objective evidence that the water disclosed by Kawajiri is not within the range claimed. In this regard, those of ordinary skill would expect that the water disclosed by Kawajiri to be within the claimed pH range.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 10/684,285 Page 5

Art Unit: 1621

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/684,285 Page 6

Art Unit: 1621

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Karl J. Puttlitz whose telephone number is (571) 272-

0645. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9 a.m. to 5

p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Johann Richter, can be reached at telephone number (571) 272-0646. The

fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned

is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Karl J. Puttlitz

Assistant Examiner

Johann R. Richter, Ph.D., Esq.

Supervisory Patent Examiner Biotechnology and Organic Chemistry

Art Unit 1621

(571) 272-0646